

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/743,892	03/12/2001	Bernd-Friedrich Scholl	P00 2002	8628	
30596	7590 12/19/2002				
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			EXAMINER		
P.O.BOX 8910 RESTON, VA 20195			ELVE, MARIA ALEXANDRA		
RESTON, VA	20193				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1725	_	
		•	DATE MAILED: 12/19/2002	$O_{i}$	
				- 1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\ Q(1

# Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/743,892

Applicant(s)

Scholl et al.

Examiner

M. Alexandra Elve

Art Unit 1725



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sh	eet with (	the correspondence address			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed efter SIX (6) MONTHS from the						
mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the lift NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) he application to become	MONTHS from MONTHS	om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		1/4/	<u>02                                    </u>			
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This act	ion is non-final					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) 🗹 Claim(s)	3		is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)  Claim(s)			is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s)	}		is/are rejected.			
7)			is/are objected to.			
8)  Claims						
Application Papers		•	·			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepte	d or b)[	objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
a) U The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
	priority under	35 U.S.C	99 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	mmany (PTO	413) Paper No(s)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/743,892 Page 2

Art Unit: 1725

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barnekov et al. (US Pat. 5,578,229).

Barnekov et al. discloses a laser cutting system for cutting a board having planar surfaces. First and second lenses are arranged relative to the board with the focal point of the respective first and second lenses disposes intermediate the first and second surfaces of the board. Laser beams from a pair of lasers are propagated through the respective lenses with each laser beam converging at the focal point of its respective lens and cutting the workpiece from its respective surface towards the other surface. The focused beams diverge sufficiently along the cutting path. The first and second laser beams may be diverged.

Barnekov et al. teaches the cutting/processing of a wood board but not a laminated carrier. Intended use has been continuously held not germane to determining the patentability of an apparatus <u>In re Finsterwalden</u> 168 USPQ 530 and *Ex parte Masham* 2 USPQ 2d. 1647.

Application/Control Number: 09/743,892 Page 3

Art Unit: 1725

### Response to Amendment

3. Upon carefully reviewing Applicant's arguments filed November 11, 2002 the Examiner acknowledges the amendment to claim 3 and the cancellation of claim 4.

4. Applicant's arguments filed November 11, 2002 (paper # 8) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the prior art does not teach laminated carriers. The examiner respectfully disagrees because intended use has been continuously held not germane to determining the patentability of an apparatus <u>In re Finsterwalden</u> 168 USPQ 530 and *Ex parte Masham* 2 USPQ 2d. 1647.

#### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 09/743,892 Page 4

Art Unit: 1725

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final

action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to M. Alexandra Elve whose telephone number is (703) 308-0092. The

examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from 6:30 AM to 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Tom Dunn, can be reached on (703) 308-3318.

Any inquiry of general nature to the status of this application or proceeding should be

directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

M. ALEXANDRA ELVE

PRIMARY EXAMINER